Public Posting - Availability of Grants for Homelessness

Date: July 12, 2023

The St. Clair County Continuum of Care (SCCCoC) announces the availability of grants to prevent and end homelessness through the US Department of Housing and Urban Development's "Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for the FY 2023 Continuum of Care Competition." The CoC is open to, and it will accept and consider proposals from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program Grants. Organizations that have not received CoC funding in the past are encouraged to apply.

NEW PROJECTS

- New project types eligible for funding: (a) Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) (b) Permanent Housing Rapid Rehousing (PH-RRH), (c) Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing components (TH-RRH), (d) HMIS, and (e) Coordinated Entry. (refer to the NOFO for detailed information about each project type)
- Projects dedicated to serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking that provide (a) Permanent Housing-Rapid Rehousing, (b) Joint Transitional Housing-Permanent Housing or (c) Support Services Only Coordinated Entry.

Applicants for new projects must submit a letter of intent, including project type and estimated amount of request, to Chris Anderson at Christina.Anderson@co.st-clair.il.us by August 02, 2023. Agencies are urged read the NOFO before submitting a letter of intent. To access the funding notice, select FY 2023 NOFO.

• All new projects applicants must complete an electronic application in e-snaps and the New Project Application Form by August 16, 2023.

RENEWAL PROJECTS

- Applicants for renewal projects must indicate their intent to renew or voluntary reallocate funds by notifying Chris Anderson at Christina. Anderson@co.st-clair.il.us by July 19, 2023.
- Renewal projects must complete their e-snaps applications and provide answers to four narrative questions and ten Housing First questions using the following Renewal Project Application Form by August 9, 2023.

ALL PROJECTS

The CoC uses an inclusive, objective review and ranking process to prioritize projects for funding. Applicants should review the attached scoring criteria to fully understand how the CoC will evaluate all projects. The Rank and Review Committee will notify all applicants of acceptance and ranking, rejection, or modification of their projects no later than August 30, 2023.

Please understand that HUD has specific requirements for applicants, including:

- The Rank & Review Committee will carefully review the qualifications of the applicant and the proposed project to assure that they meet HUD's threshold requirements.
- Projects that (1) are eligible; (2) meet HUD's threshold requirements; (3) demonstrate need; and (4) demonstrate organizational capacity, will be accepted, and ranked in priority order using the CoC's scoring criteria (included below).
- Individuals, for-profit organizations, and unincorporated entities are not eligible to apply.
- Applicant organizations must use the e-snaps system to submit their proposals. If interested, you are strongly urged to create an "Applicant Profile" in e-snaps immediately. Select this link to access the login page for *e-snaps*. Contact the CoC for help with this process.

Contact Christina Anderson at Christina. Anderson@co.st-clair.il.us for more information.

St. Clair County Continuum of Care

Renewal Project Scoring Tool

Threshold Questions (Pass/Fail)

Category	Required Attachment	Received Yes/No
Evidence of Agency's Not-For- Profit Status	501(c)3 Letter	•
Agreement to Participate in Coordinated Entry	CoC Coordinated Entry Letter	
Match	Letter of Intent from Agency	
Most Recent Audit	Audited Financial Statement from last completed fiscal year	
Evidence of HMIS Participation (or comparable database)	CoC APR for prior program year	
Outstanding HUD Audit Findings	Audit Outcome Document	
HUD Monitoring Finding (within the last 2 years)	Documentation of Corrective Action Plan from HUD and related correspondence	
DHS Monitoring Finding (within the last 2 years)	Documentation of Corrective Action Plan from HUD and related correspondence	

Project Supports the Needs of the CoC Community Criteria

Category	Scoring Ruberic		Source	Max	Score
Bed Utilization - percent of housing assets being utilized	100-88%	4 points	SAGE	4	
	87-75%	3 points			
	74-62%	2 points			
	61-51%	1 point			
	>/= 50%	0 points			

Project Complies with CoC Policies and Procedures

Category	Sco	ring Ruberic	Source	Max	Score
Coordinated Entry, possess of	100-75%	5 points			
Coordinated Entry - percent of participants entering project with a	74-60%	3 points	HMIS	5	
referral from Coordinated Entry	59-10%	1 point	HIVIIS		
referral from coordinated entry	>/= 10%	0 points			
Adherence to Housing First Principles	-	h "yes" in a 10 question interview	Interview Attached to Project Application	10	
CoC Participation - percentage of	100 - 75%	2 points	Rosters & Meeting		
participation in CoC meetings	> 50%	0 points	Minutes	2	
Fund Management - percentage of allocated funds expended during the grant year	100%	3 points			
	99-85%	2 points	SAGE	3	
	84-70%	1 point	JAGE	,	
tile grant year	> 70%	0 points			
Data Quality percentage of	100%	3 points			
	99-94%	2 points	SAGE	3	
·	Principles Participation - percentage of ticipation in CoC meetings Management - percentage of ated funds expended during the grant year ta Quality - percentage of eteness of client level data in HMIS Management - 100 - 75% > 50% 100% 99-85% 84-70% > 70% 100% 99-94% 93-89% > 89% 100-90% 89-80%	1 point	SAGE	3	
IIIVIIS	> 89%	0 points			
	100-90%	5 points			
_	89-80%	4 points			
percentage of clients successfully	79-70%	3 points	SAGE	5	
exiting to permanent housing or sae housing when participating in	69-60%	2 points	SAGE	١	
DV projects	59-50%	1 point			
ov projeco	> 50%	0 points			

System Performance Measures

Category	Ruberic		Source	Max	Score	
	> 30 days	10 points	HMIS	10		
Length of Stay in Project *length	31-60 days	8 points				
of time between when a person	61-180 days	6 points				
enters a project and when they	181-365 days	4 points				
move into a residence	366-730 days	2 points				
	> 730 days	0 points				

	<u>></u> 85%	10 points]		
	84-75%	8 points			
Length of Stay in Project (TH Only)	74-65%	6 points			
*percentage of persons in the	64-55%	4 points	HMIS	10	
project for 9 months or less	54-45%	2 points]		
ĺ	49-25%	1 point]		
l	< 25%	0 points	1		
	< 10%	5 points			
Return to Homelessness -	11-40%	4 points	1		
percentage of clients that returned	41-50%	3 points	HMIS	5	
to homelessness after successfully	51-60%	2 points	HIVIIS		
exiting a project.	61-90%	1 point]		
	> 90%	0 points			
	100-90%	10 points			
	89-69%	8 points			
Percentage of Adults with NEW or	68-48%	6 points	SAGE	10	
INCREASED earned income	47-27%	4 points	SAGE	10	
	26-11%	2 points]		
	< 10%	1 point			
	100-50%	5 points			
[49-38%	4 points			
Percentage of Adults with NEW or	37-26%	3 points	SAGE	5	
INCREASED other income	25-14%	2 points] SAGE		
	13-6%	1 point]		
	<u><</u> 5%	0 points	<u> </u>		

Severity of Needs Criteria

Category		Ruberic	Source	Max	Score
	>80%	4 points			
Percentage of Adults with No or	79-59%	3 points	SAGE		
Low Income	58-38%	2 points		4	
Low income	37-21%	1 point			
	<u><20%</u>	0 points			
	>80%	4 points	SAGE		
	79-59%	3 points		4	
rcentage of Adults with mental illne	58-38%	2 points			
[37-21%	1 point			
	<u><</u> 20%	0 points			
	> 60%	4 points			
Percentage of Adults with Alcohol	59-39%	3 points			
Percentage of Adults with Alcohol and/or Drug Abuse	38-18%	2 points	SAGE	4	
and/or Drug Abuse	17-5%	1 point			
	<u><</u> 5%	0 points			

	60%	4 points			
Percentage of clients that were	59-39%	3 points			
Chronically Homeless when they	38-18%	2 points	SAGE	4	
entered the project	1-17%	1 point			
	<u><</u> 5%	0 points			
	60%	4 points			
Percentage of Percent with History	59-39%	3 points			
Percentage of Persons with History or Fleeing DV	38-18%	2 points	SAGE	4	
	17-5%	1 point			
	< 5%	0 points			

Racial Equity Factors - Narrative Responses

	Response clearly defines an established process for soliciting feedback from persons with lived experience and cites specific examples of change in program operations based on feedback = 15 points			
Plan to incorporate Lived Experience Feedback	Response shows basic understanding of need for feedback and openness to incorporate change = 7 points			
	Response indicates agency has not attempted to gather			
		feedback or implement changes based on participant input = 0		
	points			
	Max Score:			
	Point(s) Awarded:			

	Applicant's plan clearly defines how prog persons have equal access to services = 1			
Plan to Ensure Racial Equity in Service Delivery	Plan shows basic understanding of potential barriers facing persons of color without specific plan to overcome barriers = 7 points			
	Plan is vague and poorly-structured, or information is missing = 0 points			
	Max Score:	15		
Point(s) Awarded:				

VICTIM SERVICE PROVIDER					
	Applicant's plan clearly defines how program will ensure all persons are assisted with safety planning and linked with community resources = 15 points				
Plan to ensure all clients are assisted with safety planning and connected to community resources.	Plan shows basic understanding of the importance of safety planning and resource linkages = 7 points				
resources.	Plan is vague and p missing = 0 points	Plan is vague and poorly-structured, or information is missing = 0 points			
		Max Score:	15		
	·	Point(s) Awarded:		Max	Score
	100-98% without budget modifications		5 points		
	3-4% retu	urn of funds	4 points		
Agency Successfully Expended	5-8% retu	urn of funds	3 points	5	
Grant Funding	9-11% ret	urn of funds	2 points		
	13-14% re	turn of funds	1 point		
	> 15% ret	urn of funds	0 points		
	Equal to or bel	ow CoC average	5 points		
Agency's Average Cost for		% average	4 points		
Successful Outcomes - Comparing	Within 10	0% average	3 points	5	
the agency's average with the	Within 1	5% average	2 points		
CoC's average		0% average	1 point		
	More t	han 20%	0 points		
		Max So	ore	14	17
		Total Project			= =

St. Clair County Continuum of Care **New Project Scoring Tool** Threshold Questions (Pass/Fail) **Required Attachment** Received Yes/No Evidence of Agency's Not-For-501(c)3 Letter Profi<u>t Status</u> Agreement to Participate in CoC Coordinated Entry Letter and HMIS (or comparable database) Coordinated Entry/HMIS Match Letter of Intent from Agency Most Recent Audit Audited Financial Statement from last completed fiscal year Evidence of HMIS Participation (or CoC APR for prior program year **Project Supports the Needs of the CoC Community Criteria** Category **Scoring Ruberic** Source Max Score Critical Shortage 4 points Project Type - Need for various **HUD Annual CoC** Shortage 3 points project type in the CoC based on Population and the demographics of the homeless Subpopulation 4 Saturation 2 points population and subpopulation Report, and Project groups application Over-Saturation 1 point Category **Scoring Ruberic** Source Max Score Critical Shortage 4 points Project Location - geographical Project Application Shortage 3 points area served by the various project and United States Saturation 2 points Census Data type Over-Saturation 1 point **Scoring Ruberic** Category Source Max Score Critical Shortage 4 points **HUD Annual CoC** 3 points Population Served - subpopulation Shortage Population and group served within the CoC by Saturation 2 points Subpopulation Report, and Project project type application 1 point Over-Saturation Category **Scoring Ruberic** Source Max Score Housing First - barriers to program Interview attached to 1 Point for each "yes" in a 10 question interview 10 entry requirements project application Category **Scoring Ruberic** Source Max Score Rapid Rehousing - Increase of RRH Rapid Rehousing Project 1 Point Project Application beds in the CoC 0 Points Non-Rapid Rehousing Project **Scoring Ruberic** Max Score Source Permanent Supportive Housing -Fits needs 1 Point Housing type fits the needs of Project Application Does not fit needs 0 Points target population **Scoring Ruberic** Max Score Category Source Mainstream Services - plans to Engages mainstream services 1 Point connect and engage with Project Application 1 mainstream services Does not engage mainstream services 0 Points **Scoring Ruberic** Max Score Category Source Membership 1 Point CoC Membership- partnership or Attendance Rosters 1 engagement with Coc and Meeting Minutes Non-Membership 0 Points Page **7** of **9**

Category	Scoring Ru	uberic	Source	Max	Score
	Plan gives detailed consideration past experience, details of plans, and impact on Systems Performance Measures	4 points			
Permanent Housing Placement and Rentention- Project plans to increase the percentage of persons who obtain and retain permanent housing Category Category Category Returns to Homelessness - Project's plan to decrease number of persons who increase cash from employment resources Category Returns to Homelessness - Project's plan to decrease number of persons who return to nomelessness after exiting the project plan to decrease number of persons who return to nomelessness after exiting the project Category Actegory Actegory	Plan gives a sufficient consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures	3 points	Desirat Application		
	Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures	2 points	Project Application	4	
	Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan mentions that there is a plan to help participants obtain and retain permanent housing Scoring Ruberic Source Response gives detailed plan to increase cash income Response gives a sufficient plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a detailed plan to decrease returns to homelessness Plan to decrease number is who return to increase cash income Response gives a detailed plan to decrease returns to homelessness Response gives a sufficient plan to decrease returns to homelessness Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase in the points increase cash income Response gives a detailed plan to increase in the points increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase in the points increase in				
Category	Scoring Ro	uberic	Source	Max	Score
Cook brown Cookb Desirable	1 -	4 points			
plan to increase the percentage of		3 points	Project Application	4	
employment and non- employment resources		2 points	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4 Max 4 Max 4	
		1 point			
Category		uberic	Source	Max	Score
	Response gives a detailed plan to	4 points			
Returns to Homelessness - Project's plan to decrease number	Response gives a sufficient plan to	·			
of persons who return to homelessness after exiting the	Response gives a vague plan to	2 points	Project Application	Max on 4 Max on 6 Max on 4	
project	Response mentions a plan to decrease	1 point]		
Category		uberic	Source	Max	Score
VICTIM SERVICE PROVIDER: Plan to ensure all clients are assisted with safety planning and connected to community resources.	assisted with safety planning and linked 6points Plan shows basic understanding of the in resource linkages = 3 points	with community resources = mportance of safety planning and	Project Application	6	
	Severity of Nee	ods Critoria		Max 4 Max 4 Max 4 Max	
	Severity of Nee	eus Criteria			
Category	Scoring R	uberic	Source	Max	Score
Racial Equity - project's ability to	Response indicates focus on racial equity	4 points			
barriers experienced by persons of	Response gives a sufficient plan to decrease returns to homelessness	3 points	Project Application	4	
strategies to make it easier for minority persons to access	Response gives a vague plan to decrease returns to homelessness	2 points	-		
services	Response mentions a plan to decrease returns to homelessness	1 point			
Category	Scoring Ri	uberic	Source	Max	Score
Chronically Homeless - projects	Response indicates strong project focus and support of chronically homeless Response indicates sufficient focus	4 points			
ash Income Growth - Project's lan to increase the percentage of ersons who increase cash from mployment and non- mployment resources ategory eturns to Homelessness - roject's plan to decrease number if persons who return to omelessness after exiting the roject ategory ICCTIM SERVICE PROVIDER: Plan of ensure all clients are assisted with safety planning and onnected to community esources. ategory acial Equity - project's ability to dentify service participation arriers experienced by persons of olor and implement effective trategies to make it easier for ninority persons to access ervices ategory hronically Homeless - projects ocus on addressing the needs of the chronically homeless	and support of chronically homeless	3 points	Project Application		
subpopulation	Response indicates minimal focus and support of chronically homeless Response mentions focus and support	2 points	_		
	of chronically homeless	1 point		Max 4 Max A Max A Max	

Improving System Performance Measures

Category	Scoring Ru	uberic	Source	Max	Score	
	Response includes detailed plan to support the mentally anphysically disabled subpopulations	4 points				
Mentally and Physically Disabled- project focus on addressing the	Response includes a sufficient plan to support the mentally and physically disabled subpopulations	3 points	-Project Application			
Responses includes a vague plan to support the mentally and physically disabled subpopulations Response mentions a plan top suppor the mentally and physically disabled 1 point subpopulations Category Scoring Ruberic Response indicates a commitment and detailed plan to solicit and incorporate A points	support the mentally and physically	2 points	Troject/Application	4		
Category	Scoring Ru	uberic	Source	Max	Score	
Lived Experience - Project's plan to incorporate feedback from	· .	4 points				
persons with lived experience of homelessness into its policies and	Response gives a sufficient plan to solicit feedback	2 points	Project Application	Max	4	
procedures.	Response gives vague plan to support households without children	0 points				
		Max Score		(50	
		Total Project S	core			